2022 Facility Report Card

OUR GREATEST ASSET

- 2019 Community Attitude and Interest Survey, 92% respondents visited a park or facility
- Lacked a way to measure quality of facility infrastructure and maintenance

WHY GRADE OUR **FACILITIES?**


- COMMUNICATE PRIORITIES internally as well externally
- MEASURE IMPACT of infrastructure investment and maintenance efforts
- LEARN how the present state compares to past performance
- DEMONSTRATE PROGRESS toward mission, goals, and objectives
- PROVIDE DIRECTION for allocation of funding • OFFER TRANSPARENCY and ACCOUNTABILITY to
- the public

WHAT IS THE FACILITY REPORT CARD?


HOW DO WE USE **THIS INFO?**


- Key performance metric
- Guides CIP, plans, standards and procedures

FEATURES EVALUATED




Common Areas





Exteriors




FEATURES EVALUATED











OVERALL SCORES



The Park District defines the measurement as the average score of all facilities, on a scale of 0 to 100, from the Park District's Facility Report Card from the current year indicating quality and maintenance of the facilities. This measure builds on the success we have seen with the Parks Report Card.





Facility Report Card

FACILITY SCORES

Facility	Score	
218 Madison	93.15	Α
Andersen Center	81.94	В
Austin Gardens Center	100.00	Α
Barrie Center	99.20	Α
Carroll Center	94.98	Α
Conservatory	99.45	Α
Dole Center	97.43	Α
Field Center	100.00	Α
Fox Center	98.97	Α
GRC	100.00	Α
Longfellow Center	98.70	Α
Ridgeland Common	100.00	Α
Stevenson Center	100.00	Α

What outcome are we trying to achieve?

• Maintaining and Improving our Infrastructure

Who are the stakeholders impacted:

• Park patrons, residents, and staff


What does the data say?

• Highest scores are Áustin Gardens, Field Center, GRC, RCRC, and Stevenson all scoring 100. Lowest score is Andersen (82), primarily due to missing vent covers in the bathrooms that have since been replaced.

What is driving the data?

- The maintenance scheduled kept by the Parks and Planning department
- Systematic investment in facilities through the CIP
- The report card is measuring conditions of features present. So it is still possible for an outdated facility (such as Field Center) to earn a very high score.

FEATURE SCORES



What outcome are we trying to achieve?

• Maintaining and Improving our Infrastructure

Who are the stakeholders impacted:

• Park patrons, residents, and staff

What does the data say?

• Most scores were extremely high. The lowest scores were for the bathrooms at Andersen Center and the elevator at 218.